

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332)
United States Attorney

BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)
Chief, Criminal Division

WENDY THOMAS (NYBN 4315420)
Special Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, 11th Floor
San Francisco, California 94102
Telephone: (415) 436-6809
Fax: (415) 436-7234
Email: wendy.thomas@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	CR No.: 08-0079 MAG
)	
Plaintiff,)	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
)	<u>ORDER EXCLUDING TIME</u>
v.)	
)	
GREGORY A. SHASHURIN,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

On June 25, 2008, the parties in this case appeared before the Honorable Edward M. Chen for a status hearing. At that time, the parties stipulated that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculation from June 25, 2008 to July 9, 2008 for continuity of counsel and for effective preparation of defense counsel. The parties represented that granting the exclusion was reasonable given the time necessary for effective preparation of defense counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The parties also agreed that the ends of justice served by granting such a

//

//

1 continuance outweighed the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See
2 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

3
4 SO STIPULATED:

5 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO
6 United States Attorney

7 DATED: 6/25/08

8 /s/
WENDY THOMAS
Special Assistant United States Attorney

9 DATED: 6/25/08

10 /s/
JODI LINKER
11 Attorney for Mr. Shashurin

12 As the Court found on June 25, 2008, and for the reasons stated above, the Court finds that
13 an exclusion of time between June 25, 2008, and July 9, 2008, is warranted and that the ends of
14 justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a
15 speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance
16 would deny Mr. Shashurin continuity of counsel and would deny defense counsel the reasonable
17 time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See
18 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

19
20 SO ORDERED.

21 DATED: _____

22 EDWARD M. CHEN
United States Magistrate Judge